arXiv:math/9811008v1 [math.GR] 3 Nov 1998

Connectivity properties of group actions on non-positively curved spaces II: The geometric invariants

by

Robert Bieri* and Ross Geoghegan†

Introduction

This Part II continues our paper [BG_{I}], but we have made it as independent of that paper as possible. The reader familiar with the self-contained essay on finitary sheaves and finitary maps which is §4 of [BG_{I}] can read this second paper with occasional references back to [BG_{I}] for some details and analogies. A full outline of the present paper is found in §10 below.

Let G be a group, let M be a simply connected "non-positively curved", i.e. CAT(0), metric space, and let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(G)$ be an action of G on M by isometries. In our previous paper [BG_{I}] we introduced, when G is of type F_n, a property of the action ρ which we called "controlled $(n-1)$-connectedness", abbreviated CC^{n-1}. This property was defined in terms of the filtration of M by the balls $B_r(a)$ centered at some base point $a \in M$ together with a free contractible G-CW-complex over M. In the present paper we pay attention to the points at infinity, i.e. the "boundary" ∂M of the space M. For each point $e \in \partial M$ we introduce a new property of the action ρ, analogous to CC^{n-1} but defined using the filtration of M by horoballs centered at e rather than by the balls $B_r(a)$; we call this "CC^{n-1} over e". Whereas in the previous situation the property CC^{n-1} was independent of the base point $a \in M$ it will now depend in a delicate way upon the point $e \in \partial M$. Therefore the subset of ∂M

$$\Sigma^n(\rho) := \{e \in \partial M \mid \rho \text{ is } CC^{n-1} \text{ over } e\},$$

becomes interesting in its own right, and in this paper we investigate its structure.

---

*The first-named author was supported in part by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

†The second-named author was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

The isometric action ρ of G on M induces a topological action of G on ∂M when ∂M is given the (compact) "cone" topology[21], and $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ is invariant with respect to this action. However, it may happen that the closure, $\mathrm{cl}_{\partial M}(Ge)$, of an orbit $Ge \subseteq \Sigma^n(\rho)$ does not lie in $\Sigma^n(\rho)$. Define

$$\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) := \{e \in \partial M \mid \mathrm{cl}_{\partial M}(Ge) \subseteq \Sigma^n(\rho)\}.$$

There are important instances in which $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \Sigma^n(\rho)$ but when that is not the case $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ is easier to compute. In more detail:

- If ∂M is given the Tits distance topology then $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ is an open subset[22] of ∂M. In fact, $\{(\rho, e) \mid e \in \Sigma^n(\rho)\}$ is open in $\mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{Isom}(M)) \times \partial M$. See Theorem F.
- The set $\{\rho \mid \Sigma^n(\rho) = \partial M\}$ is open in $\mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{Isom}(M))$. See Theorem G. Note that, for such ρ, $\Sigma^n(\rho) = \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$.
- If M is almost geodesically complete then $\Sigma^n(\rho) = \partial M$ if and only if ρ is uniformly CC^{n-1} in the sense of $[\mathrm{BG}_{\mathrm{I}}]$. See Theorem H.
- There is a description of $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ in terms of a dynamical condition on any free contractible G-CW-complex X over M; see Theorem E. This is the key to all our results on $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ and can be used to compute $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ in specific cases.

When M is Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^m$ then ∂M is a sphere S^{m-1} and the two topologies on ∂M coincide; if the G-action ρ on $M = \mathbb{E}^m$ is by translations then the induced action on ∂M is trivial. In this situation our results were previously known: the two sets $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ and $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ coincide and have a description in terms of the *homotopical geometric invariant* $\Sigma^n(G)$ of the group G, on which a considerable literature exists. More specifically, if we take M to be the vector space $G/G' \otimes \mathbb{R}$ endowed with an inner product, and ρ to be the action of G on M given by left translation then $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ has a direct interpretation as $\Sigma^n(G)$. See Theorem I. $\Sigma^n(G)$ has been computed in many cases: see §§10.6 and 10.7(A). Explicit computation of $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ in non-Euclidean examples is still in its infancy, but we will be able to comment on the natural action of $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_S)$, S a natural number, on the hyperbolic plane (§10.7(B)), and we compute $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ for all actions ρ of G on locally finite simplicial trees (§10.7(C)).

---

[21] The space ∂M has two topologies: the usual compact "cone" topology and the "Tits distance" topology which is usually not compact but is complete and CAT(1). See §11 for details.

[22] The set $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ is not, in general, open in the cone topology; see the Remark following Theorem F in §10.

The ideas in this two-part paper have evolved over the past twenty years. $\Sigma^1(G)$ was first introduced in the special context of metabelian groups G in [BS 80] as a tool to characterize finite presentability[23] of G. For arbitrary groups G, $\Sigma^1(G)$ was introduced in [BNS 87] and subsequently $\Sigma^n(G)$, $n \geq 2$, appeared in [BR 88] and [Re 88, 89]. Although these "geometric invariants" were defined for arbitrary groups G of type F_n they only referred to translation actions on Euclidean spaces, and so G was tacitly assumed to have infinite Abelianization G/G'. The present work emerged when we tried to extend the scope of the theory to genuinely non-commutative actions. Not only the theorems but also the rather involved techniques concerning finitary maps and their sheaves have precursors in these earlier stages.

The numbering of sections and footnotes in this paper continues that in [BG_{I}].

---

[23] Precisely: a finitely generated metabelian group G is finitely presented if and only if for every point e on the sphere at infinity either $e \in \Sigma^1(G)$ or $-e \in \Sigma^1(G)$.

10 Outline, Main Results and Examples

10.1 **The boundary of a CAT(0)-space.** Let (M, d) be a proper CAT(0) space. A *geodesic ray* in M is an isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$. Two geodesic rays γ, γ' are *asymptotic* if there is a constant $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $d(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) \leq r$ for all t. The set of all geodesic rays asymptotic to γ is called the *endpoint of* γ and denoted $e = \gamma(\infty)$. The collection of all endpoints of geodesic rays form the *boundary* ∂M of M. Since d is proper it is complete, so (see [BrHa] or [Ho 97]) for every pair $(a, e) \in M \times \partial M$ there is a unique geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ with $\gamma(0) = a$ and $\gamma(\infty) = e$. Hence there is a natural bijection between ∂M and the set of all geodesic rays emanating from a base point, and ∂M acquires the compact-open topology of the latter via this bijection. This topology, which is independent of the choice of a, is compact and metrizable. It is called the *cone topology.* An action on M by isometries induces a topological action on ∂M. ∂M is assumed to carry the cone topology except when another interesting topology, the Tits distance topology (see §11.4), is explicitly mentioned.

Associated to each geodesic ray γ of M is its *Busemann function* $\beta_\gamma : M \to \mathbb{R}$ (see §11.2) and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the associated *horoball* $HB_s(\gamma) := \beta_\gamma^{-1}([s, \infty))$. Horoballs "centered" at γ play a role analogous to that of balls centered at $a \in M$. Indeed, $HB_s(\gamma) = \mathrm{cl}_M(\bigcup\{B_{t-s}(\gamma(t)) | s < t\})$. Horoballs are contractible.

10.2 **$\mathbf{CC^{n-1}}$ over end points.** In parallel with §2.2, M is a proper CAT(0) space, G is a group, X^n an n-dimensional $(n-1)$-connected free G-CW-complex such that $G\backslash X^n$ is finite, $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(M)$ is an isometric action and $h : X \to M$ is a control function (i.e. a G-map). We pick a geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ and its endpoint $e = \gamma(\infty)$, and we write $X_{(\gamma,s)}$ for the largest subcomplex of X lying in $h^{-1}(HB_s(\gamma))$. We say that X *is controlled* $(n-1)$*-connected* (CC^{n-1}) *in the direction* γ (with respect to ρ) if for any horoball $HB_s(\gamma)$ and $-1 \leq p \leq n-1$ there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that every map $f : S^p \to X_{(\gamma,s)}$ extends to a map $\tilde{f} : B^{p+1} \to X_{(\gamma,s-\lambda)}$. The number λ depends on the horoball $HB_s(\gamma)$ and is called a *lag.* In what follows, the lag will often turn out to be constant (i.e. independent of $HB_s(\gamma)$; dependent only on e), and then we will speak of a *constant lag.* When $p = -1$ this says that each $X_{(\gamma,s)}$ is non-empty.

The property "X is CC^{n-1} in the direction γ" is shown in §12.1 to be a property of the endpoint e rather than the ray γ. Moreover we have an Invariance Theorem (Theorem 12.1) as in [$\mathrm{BG_I}$] which shows that this property is also independent of the choice of X and of h, i.e., it is a property of the action ρ. So, if X is CC^{n-1} in the direction γ we will say that ρ is CC^{n-1} *over* (or *in the direction*) $e = \gamma(\infty)$.

So far the two conditions CC^{n-1} over points $a \in M$, defined in §2.2, and endpoints $e \in \partial M$ look strictly analogous. But there is a striking difference in their behaviour with respect to changing a or e: if ρ is CC^{n-1} over some $a \in M$ it is also CC^{n-1} over any other point of M. Not so for the property CC^{n-1} over $e \in \partial M$. Hence it becomes interesting to study the subset of ∂M

$$\Sigma^n(\rho) = \{e \mid \rho \text{ is } CC^{n-1} \text{ over } e\}.$$

Following the tradition of [BS 80], [BNS 87], [BR 88] and [Re 88, 89] we call this the n^{th} *(homotopical) geometric invariant of the action* ρ.

10.3 **The dynamical subset.** From the definition it is clear that if $e \in \partial M$ is in the geometric invariant $\Sigma^n(\rho)$, so is the whole G-orbit Ge. The subset of $\Sigma^n(\rho)$

$$\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \{e \in \partial M | \ \mathrm{cl}_{\partial M}(Ge) \subseteq \Sigma^n(\rho)\}$$

deserves special attention. We call it the *dynamical subset* of $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ because the following theorem characterizes it in terms of a dynamical condition in the free contractible G-CW-complex X over M (with cocompact n-skeleton) of §10.2. In the spirit of §2.6 we call a cellular map $\phi : X^n \to X^n$ a *contraction towards* $e \in \partial M$ if there is a number $\varepsilon > 0$ with

$$\beta_\gamma h\phi(x) \geq \beta_\gamma h(x) + \varepsilon, \text{ for all } x \in X^n,$$

where $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ is a geodesic ray with $\gamma(\infty) = e$. We have the following endpoint analogue of Theorem D:

Theorem E. *If* $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(M)$ *is an action of a group* G *of type* F_n *on a proper* CAT(0) *space* M *by isometries then the dynamical subset* $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ *of* $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ *can be characterized as*

$$\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \{e | X^n \textit{ admits a } G\textit{-finitary contraction towards } e\}.$$

Note that $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \partial M$ if and only if $\Sigma^n(\rho) = \partial M$. The example of a Fuchsian group acting on the hyperbolic plane (see §10.7(B)) shows that $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ and $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ are in general different but they contain the same closed G-invariant subsets of ∂M.

10.4 **Openness results.** The "angular distance" between two points e and e' of ∂M is the supremum over points $a \in M$ of the angle between the geodesic rays γ and γ' representing e and e' where $\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0) = a$. This is a metric on ∂M and the corresponding length metric is called the "Tits distance", denoted $Td(e, e')$; see §11.4 for full definitions. In general, the topology on ∂M given by Td is finer than the cone topology: i.e. Id: $(\partial M, Td) \to (\partial M$, cone topology) is continuous. The space $(\partial M, Td)$ is a complete CAT(1) metric space [BrHa; III 3.17]. Two extremes are represented by $M = \mathbb{E}^k$ where the two topologies agree giving S^{k-1}, and $M = \mathbb{H}^k$ where $(\partial M, Td)$ is discrete while $(\partial M,$ cone topology) is S^{k-1}.

We have openness theorems involving both topologies on ∂M. The easier of these involves Tits distance. Recall from §7.1 that the function spaces Isom(M) and Hom(G,Isom(M)) are given the compact-open topology. With this understanding, the condition "$e \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$" is open with respect to both ρ and e:

Theorem F. $\{(\rho, e) \mid e \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)\}$ *is open in* $\mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{Isom}(M)) \times \partial M$ *when* ∂M *carries the Tits distance topology. In particular, for fixed* ρ, $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ *is open in* $(\partial M, Td)$.

Remark: In general, neither $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ nor $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ is open in (∂M,cone topology). If h is a non-trivial element of the free group of rank 2 and ρ is the action of $G := \langle h \rangle$ on the Cayley tree T by covering translations, then $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ consists of the two endpoints of the translation axis of h; this is not open in ∂T. For an example where $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ is not open see §10.7(B): that example also shows that $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ is not always the interior of $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ in $(\partial M, Td)$. We do not know if $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ is always open in $(\partial M, Td)$. In the "classical" case of [BS 80], [BNS 87], [BR 88] and [Re 88, 89] (discussed in §10.7(A)) $\Sigma^n(\rho) = \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ and is open in S^{k-1}.

For a different and deeper openness theorem we need ∂M compact, i.e. the cone topology:

Theorem G. *If* E *is a closed subset of* (∂M, *cone topology) then* $\{\rho \mid E \subseteq \Sigma^n(\rho)\}$ *is an open subset of* Hom(G,Isom(M, E)). *In particular,* $\{\rho \mid \Sigma^n(\rho) = \partial M\}$ *is open in* Hom(G,Isom(M)).

Here, Isom(M, E) is the space of isometries of M which leave E invariant.

10.5 **Endpoints versus points in** M**.** We come to a theorem which relates the CC^{n-1} property over all the endpoints $e \in \partial M$ to the CC^{n-1} property over a point $a \in M$. This is the link between the present paper and [BG$_{\text{I}}$]; it requires a mild assumption on M. The (proper) CAT(0) space (M, d) is *geodesically complete* if every geodesic segment $[0, t] \to M$ can be extended to a geodesic ray $[0, \infty) \to M$. We say the CAT(0) space (M, d) is *almost geodesically complete* if there is a number $\mu \geq 0$ such that for any two points $a, b \in M$ there is a geodesic ray γ with $\gamma(0) = a$ such that $\gamma([0, \infty))$ meets $B_\mu(b)$. A recent Theorem of P. Ontaneda shows that this property is often guaranteed in cases of interest.

Ontaneda's Theorem. [On] *Let M be a non-compact proper* $\mathrm{CAT}(0)$*-space such that* $\mathrm{Isom}(M)$ *acts cocompactly. A sufficient condition for almost geodesic completeness is that the cohomology with compact supports $H_c^*(M)$ be non-trivial. This condition is satisfied whenever some subgroup of* $\mathrm{Isom}(M)$ *acts cocompactly with discrete orbits*[24].

Theorem H. *If $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(M)$ is an isometric action on a proper and almost geodesically complete* $\mathrm{CAT}(0)$*-space M then the following are equivalent*

(i) $\Sigma^n(\rho) = \partial M$

(ii) *ρ is uniformly CC^{n-1} over M.*

We do not know whether the assumption that M be almost geodesically complete is necessary in Theorem H. It is not needed in the case $n = 0$, where the Theorem says that $\Sigma^0(\rho) = \partial M$ if and only if ρ is cocompact. It is an open problem as to whether there exists a non-compact CAT(0) space M which is not almost geodesically complete but admits a cocompact group action by isometries.[25]

The proofs of Theorems E, F, G and H are completed in §15.

10.6 **Fixed points and the BNSR-geometric invariant.** At this point we should relate our theory to the previous literature. Let $S(G)$ be the sphere of non-zero (additive) characters on G modulo positive scalar multiplication: when $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is a non-zero character we denote by $[\chi]$ the point of $S(G)$ represented by χ. Identify $\mathbb{R}$ with the group of translations of the Euclidean line and interpret χ as a translation action of G on $\mathbb{R}$. The preferred endpoint of $\mathbb{R}$ is ∞. Define

$$\Sigma^n(G) := \{[\chi] \in S(G) \mid \chi \text{ is } CC^{n-1} \text{ over } \infty\}.$$

This is the *homotopical geometric invariant* of [BR 88] and [Re 88], and coincides with the invariant $\Sigma_{G'}$ of [BNS 87] when $n = 1$.

Now we consider a CAT(0) space M with a specified end point $e \in \partial M$. We denote by $\mathrm{Isom}(M, e)$ the group of isometries which fix e. If $f : M \to M$ is an isometry fixing e then f permutes the horoballs centered at e, and so $f(HB_{(\gamma,r)}) = HB_{(\gamma,r')}$ for γ a geodesic ray with $\gamma(\infty) = e$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. It is not difficult to observe that the map $r \mapsto r'$ is a translation of $\mathbb{R}$ (see Proposition 11.3) so that we have a canonical map

---

[24] Recently, D. Farley has shown that this condition is also satisfied if M (as above) is an M_κ-complex with finite shapes (see [BrHa] for the relevant definitions).

[25] The conclusion of Theorem B ("cocompact and CC^{n-1}" is an open condition) follows from Theorems G and H. This alternative proof of Theorem B has the disadvantage that it requires the (perhaps redundant) hypothesis that M be almost geodesically complete. It has the advantage of being technically simpler, in that ∂M (with the cone topology) plays the role previously played by the sphere of event radius R (§5.3): the compactness of this sphere is replaced by the compactness of ∂M. The reader who carefully compares these two proofs of Theorem B will see that this alternative proof is easier, avoiding most of the content of §§6.3, 7.3 and 7.4.

$$\kappa : \mathrm{Isom}(M, e) \to \mathbb{R} = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}, \infty).$$

Thus every G-action $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(M, e)$ induces a translation action $\kappa\rho$ on $\mathbb{R}$ – in other words, an additive homomorphism $\chi_{\rho,e} : G \to \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the Busemann function $\beta_\gamma : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is compatible with the actions ρ and $\kappa\rho$ on M and $\mathbb{R}$, so if $h : X \to M$ is the control function chosen in §2.2 with respect to ρ we can choose the composition $h_e = \beta_\gamma h : X \to \mathbb{R}$ as the control function for $\kappa\rho$. It is then immediate from the definitions in §10.2 that ρ is CC^{n-1} over e if and only if $\kappa\rho$ is CC^{n-1} over ∞. This proves

Theorem I. *Let* $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(M, e)$ *be an action fixing the point* $e \in \partial M$. *Then* $e \in \Sigma^n(\rho)$ *(or, equivalently,* $e \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$*) if and only if* $[\kappa\rho] \in \Sigma^n(G)$.

□

A more intimate relationship between $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ and $\Sigma^n(G)$ can be observed if $M = \mathbb{E}^k$ is Euclidean k-space and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Transl}(\mathbb{E}^k)$ is an action on $\mathbb{E}^k$ by translations. Then $\partial\,\mathbb{E}^k$ is a $(k-1)$-sphere and is pointwise fixed by the G-action, so that $e \mapsto [\chi_{\rho,e}]$ defines a map[26]

$$\mu : \partial\,\mathbb{E}^k = S^{k-1} \to S(G) \cup \{0\}.$$

Let N be the linear span of the orbit of 0, and let N' be its orthogonal complement in $\mathbb{E}^k$. Then $\partial\,\mathbb{E}^k = \partial N * \partial N'$ is the join of great subspheres in $\partial\,\mathbb{E}^k$. The translation action ρ of G on $\mathbb{E}^k$ restricts faithfully to a translation action ρ_N on N, and $\Sigma^n(\rho) = \Sigma^n(\rho_N) * \partial N' - \emptyset * \partial N'$. The map μ respects the join operation, is injective on ∂N and maps $\partial N'$ to 0, so it maps $\Sigma^n(\rho_N)$ bijectively onto the intersection of $\Sigma^n(G)$ with the great subsphere $\{[\chi] \mid \chi(\ker\,\rho) = 0\}$ of $S(G)$. Thus the invariant $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ is determined by $\Sigma^n(G)$. In the special case where ρ is cocompact and G acts properly discontinuously, μ maps $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ onto $\Sigma^n(G)$ homeomorphically.

10.7 **Examples.**

A) **Actions by Euclidean translations.** Let G be a group of type $F_n, n \geq 1$, and $M = G/G' \bigotimes \mathbb{R}$ the real G-vector space endowed with a Euclidean metric. The action $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Transl}(M)$ is induced by the left regular action of G on G/G'. ∂M

---

[26] $S(G) \cup \{0\}$ is a quotient space of $\mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R})$, $\{0\}$ is dense and μ is continuous.

is a $(k-1)$-sphere ($k = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G/G' \bigotimes \mathbb{R})$) which is pointwise fixed by ρ. Thus, by §10.6, we have

$$\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \Sigma^n(\rho) = \Sigma^n(G) \subseteq S(G).$$

The invariant $\Sigma^n(G)$ has been computed in many specific cases. We outline two situations which demonstrate the subtle behaviour of $\Sigma^n(G)$.

A1) **Right angled Coxeter groups.** Let Γ be a graph. The group $G(\Gamma)$ is given by the presentation whose generators are the vertices of Γ subject to the relations that adjacent vertices commute. Every vertex $v \in \operatorname{ver}(\Gamma)$ gives rise to the *coordinate hemispheres* $\pm H_v \subset S(G(\Gamma))$ where

$$H_v := \{[\chi] | \chi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G(\Gamma), \mathbb{R}), \chi(v) > 0\}.$$

Bestvina and Brady [BeBr 97] have shown that the diagonal character $\chi : G(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by $\chi(v) = 1$ for all $v \in \operatorname{ver}(\Gamma)$, lies in $\Sigma^n(G)$ if and only if the flag complex[27] of Γ is $(n-1)$-connected. Meier, Meinert, and VanWyk [MMV 98] have extended this to a complete description of $\Sigma^n(G(\Gamma))$; it is a finite union of finite intersections of coordinate hemispheres. For a simple geometric approach to these results see [BuGo 99].

A2) **Metabelian groups.** A group G is said to be *metabelian* if its commutator subgroup G' is Abelian. For finitely generated metabelian groups G the invariant $\Sigma^1(G)$ has a handy description in terms of valuations on fields [BS 81] which can be used for calculations. In [BGr 84] it was used to prove that $\Sigma^1(G)$ is a rational polyhedral subset of $S(G)$, i.e., a finite union of finite intersections of open hemispheres with rational coordinate ratios for the spherical centres. It has been conjectured that in the metabelian case $\Sigma^n(G)$ is determined by $\Sigma^1(G)$; more precisely, writing $\Sigma^n(G)^c$ for the complement of $\Sigma^n(G)$ in $S(G)$, the Σ^n-*Conjecture* reads[28]: G being of type F_n,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^n(G)^c \quad &= \quad \{[\chi] \in S(G) \mid \chi = \chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_k \\ &\qquad \text{with } k \le n \;\text{ and each }\; [\chi_i] \in \Sigma^1(G)^c\}\end{aligned}$$

---

[27] The flag complex of a graph is the simplicial complex whose n-simplices are the $(n+1)$-element sets of pairwise adjacent vertices

[28] The Σ^n-Conjecture for metabelian groups G came up in 1988. It was based on the older FP_n-*Conjecture* (A finitely generated metabelian group G is of type FP_n if and only if every n-point subset of $\Sigma^1(G)^c$ is contained in an open hemisphere; see [B 81].). Indeed, one can observe that if $G \rightarrowtail \tilde{G} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a short exact sequence then the FP_n-Conjecture for $\tilde{G}$ implies the Σ^n-Conjecture for the rational points in $\Sigma^n(G)$ up to antipodality.

This conjecture has been verified when the commutator subgroup G' is virtually torsion-free of finite rank by H. Meinert [Me 96] and when G' is torsion and of Krull dimension 1 as a $\mathbb{Z}G/G'$-module by D. Kochloukova [Ko 96]. Moreover, Kochloukova has also proved it for general split[29] metabelian groups and $n = 2$. We will see examples in C) below.

B) $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$**-action on the hyperbolic plane.** Let G be a discrete subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ (a Fuchsian group) and let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ be the natural action of G on the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$. If this action has a fundamental domain of finite area, then (see [BG 98]) the complement of $\Sigma^0(\rho)$ in $\partial\,\mathbb{H}^2$ is precisely the set of all parabolic fixed points of G; or, equivalently, the orbits of the points $e \in \partial\,\mathbb{H}^2$ which lie on the boundary of a fundamental polygon. It is not difficult to observe that, in fact, $\Sigma^n(\rho) = \Sigma^0(\rho)$ for all n. Since the action of G on $\partial\,\mathbb{H}^2$ has only dense orbits we have $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \emptyset$ for all n.

A special case of a Fuchsian group is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If we use the upper half plane model for $\mathbb{H}^2$, the boundary is $\partial\,\mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\Sigma^0(\rho)^c$ consists of the single orbit $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\infty = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\} =: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$ be a finite set of s (different) prime numbers in $\mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbb{Z}_S$ be the subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $(p_1 p_2 \ldots p_s)^{-1}$. We let $\rho : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_S) \to \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ be the natural action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ on the upper half plane by Moebius transformations. This action has dense orbits so, by Theorem H, $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ is empty or all of $\partial\,\mathbb{H}^2$, and ρ is CC^{n-1} over $\infty \in \partial\,\mathbb{H}^2$ if and only if ρ is uniformly CC^{n-1} in the sense of §3. For this ρ we propose:

Conjecture.

$$\Sigma^n(\rho)^c = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if} \quad n < s \\ \overline{\mathbb{Q}} & \text{if} \quad n \geq s \end{cases}$$

In particular

$$\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)^c = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if} \quad n \leq s \\ \partial\,\mathbb{H}^2 & \text{if} \quad n > s \end{cases}$$

---

[29] i.e. the commutator subgroup $G' \trianglelefteq G$ has a complement in G.

The conjecture has been verified in special cases, in particular for the case $s = 1$. Part of the interest of this conjecture has been discussed in Footnote 11, but it is now given in a sharper form. Eventually one would hope for a similar statement for $SL_2(O_S)$ where O_S is the ring of S-integers in an algebraic number field.

C) **Tree actions**. Let T be an infinite locally finite tree and let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(T)$ be a cocompact action of G by simplicial automorphisms. Then, by Bass-Serre theory, G is the fundamental group of a finite graph of groups $(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$, where $\Gamma = G\backslash T$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is the system of edge and vertex stabilizers along a fundamental transversal of T. The edge stabilizers are of finite index in the vertex stabilizers since T is locally finite. Following [B 98] we define the *finiteness length* of G [resp. $\mathcal{G}$] to be $\mathrm{fl}G := \sup\{k \mid G$ is of type $F_k\}$ [resp. $\mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G} := \inf\{\, \mathrm{fl}H \mid H \in \mathcal{G}\}$], and the *connectivity length* of a character $\chi : G \to \mathbb{R}$ to be $\mathrm{cl}(\chi) := \sup\{k \mid k \leq \mathrm{fl}G$ and $[\chi] \in \Sigma^k(G)\}$. In this case, $\mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{fl}H$ for any $H \in \mathcal{G}$.

We begin by noting three elementary facts. First, T is almost geodesically complete so we may apply Theorem H. Secondly, if the fixed point set $(\partial T)^G$ is a proper subset of ∂T then it is either empty or a singleton; for if there are two singleton orbits then ∂T consists of just those points. Thirdly, any orbit consisting of more than one point is dense, so that its closure is ∂T. It follows that if S is the union of closures of orbits—and $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ is such a set—then $S = \partial T$ or is a singleton or is empty. It is well known that $\mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G} \leq \mathrm{fl}G$, so *if* $(\partial T)^G = \emptyset$ *then*

$$\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \begin{cases} \partial T & \text{if } \ 0 \leq n \leq \mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G} \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \ \mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G} < n \leq \mathrm{fl}G \end{cases} \tag{10.1}$$

There remains the case when the G-tree T has exactly one fixed end e. For such a tree we have the associated non-zero character $\chi_{\rho,e} : G \to \mathbb{R}$ of §10.6 measuring the shift towards e. Thus if $n \leq \mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G}$, $\Sigma^n(\rho)$ is defined, and by Theorems A and H, $\Sigma^n(\rho) = \partial T$. In particular, $e \in \Sigma^n(\rho)$ and therefore, by Theorem I, $[\chi_{\rho,e}] \in \Sigma^n(G)$, implying $n \leq \mathrm{cl}(\chi_{\rho,e})$. In summary: $\mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G} \leq \mathrm{cl}(\chi_{\rho,e}) \leq \mathrm{fl}G$ and

$$\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \begin{cases} \partial T & \text{if } \ 0 \leq n \leq \mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G} \\ \{e\} & \text{if } \ \mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G} < n \leq \mathrm{cl}(\chi_{\rho,e}) \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \ \mathrm{cl}(\chi_{\rho,e}) < n \leq \mathrm{fl}G \end{cases} \tag{10.2}$$

By a *non-trivial rooted G-tree* we mean a (simplicial) G-tree with no fixed point and with a unique fixed end e (neither cocompactness nor local finiteness are assumed here). Every such G-tree $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(T)$ has an associated non-zero character

$\chi_{\rho,e} : G \to \mathbb{R}$, defining an associated point $[\chi_{\rho,e}] \in S(G)$. When G is finitely generated then for any non-trivial locally finite rooted G-tree there is a (cocompact) Bass-Serre tree of an ascending HNN extension of G, i.e.,

$$G = \langle B, t \mid tbt^{-1} = \theta(b), b \in B \rangle, \tag{10.3}$$

where $\theta : B \rightarrowtail B$ is an injective endomorphism and $\theta(B)$ has finite index ≥ 2 in B, so that the two trees have the same associated point of $S(G)$; i.e. one associated character is a positive multiple of the other[30].

We will examine the parameters $\mathrm{fl}\mathcal{G}$, $\mathrm{cl}(\chi_{\rho,e})$ and $\mathrm{fl}G$ occurring in (10.2) when G belongs to a special class of finitely generated groups. For this, we define (for any character $\chi : G \to \mathbb{R}$ on any finitely generated group)

$$m(\chi) := \sup\{k \mid \chi \neq \chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_k \text{ with each } [\chi_i] \in \Sigma^1(G)^c - \{[\chi]\}\}.$$

Our special class is the class of finitely generated *MFPR groups*, i.e. metabelian groups of finite Prüfer rank. Recall that this means: the commutator subgroup G' is abelian with finite torsion and finite torsion free rank ($\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(G' \otimes \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$). For such groups there is no difference between type F_n and type FP_n ([BS 80, Theorem 5.4]). Both the Σ^n-Conjecture and the FP_n-Conjecture (see §10.6) are known to hold for MFPR groups ([Å86], [Me 96]). Denoting the zero character by 0_G and an arbitrary character by χ, these theorems can be restated in terms of the function m:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{fl}G & = m(0_G) \\ \mathrm{cl}(\chi) & = \min\{m(\chi), m(0_G)\}. \end{cases} \tag{10.4}$$

If G splits as in (10.3), the character $\chi_{\rho,e}$ of the corresponding tree decomposition is given by $\chi_{\rho,e}(B) = 0$ and $\chi_{\rho,e}(t) = -1$. According to [Me 96; Theorem 2.6], *provided B is finitely generated*,

$$\mathrm{fl}B = \min\{m(\chi_{\rho,e}), m(-\chi_{\rho,e}), m(0_G)\}. \tag{10.5}$$

The requirement that B be finitely generated is not a serious restriction if the MFPR group G is finitely presented. In that case, for any non-trivial rooted G-tree corresponding to a decomposition (10.3) there is another such, having the same associated point of $S(G)$, in which B is finitely generated [BS 78; Theorem A][31]. Summarizing: in this nice case (G a finitely presented MFPR group and B finitely generated) (10.2) can be rewritten:

---

[30] More precisely, there is a G-invariant "superdivision" T_1 of a G-invariant subtree T' of T (i.e. T' is a subdivision of T_1) such that $G \backslash T_1$ consists of one vertex and one edge. This $T' := \cup\{gA(h) \mid g \in G\}$ where $A(h)$ is the translation axis of a hyperbolic element $h \in G$. There is always a hyperbolic element under these hypotheses.

[31] In fact there is a map of G-trees from the latter onto the former.

$$(10.6) \qquad \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \begin{cases} \partial T & \text{if } \ 0 \leq n \leq \min\{m(\chi_{\rho,e}), m(-\chi_{\rho,e}), m(0_G)\} \\ \{e\} & \text{if } \ \min\{m(\chi_{\rho,e}), m(-\chi_{\rho,e}), m(0_G)\} < n \\ & \qquad \leq \min\{m(\chi_{\rho,e}), m(0_G)\} \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \ \min\{m(\chi_{\rho,e}, m(0_G)\} < n \leq m(0_G). \end{cases}$$

Since the definition of $m(\chi)$ involves geometric features of the whole set $\Sigma^1(G)^c$, it is only a slight exaggeration to conclude from (10.6) that one must know $\Sigma^1(G)^c$ in order to know the $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n$-properties of ρ.

What can $\Sigma^1(G)^c$ be? If G is a finitely generated MFPR group, then a precise answer can be given: $\Sigma^1(G)^c$ is a finite set of rational points in $S(G)$; moreover, given k and any finite rational subset A of S^k there is a finitely generated MFPR group G with $S(G) = S^k$ and $\Sigma^1(G)^c = A$; and in order to ensure that G is finitely presented, one simply chooses A so that it does not contain diametrically opposite points. See [BS 81; Example 2.6].

At this point we recall a theorem of K.S. Brown [Br 87_{II}] which implies[32] that for any finitely generated group G the image of the map $\rho \mapsto [\chi_{\rho,e}]$ from the set of all non-trivial rooted G-trees into $S(G)$ consists of all the rational points of $\Sigma^1(G)^c$. It follows from our discussion that if we only consider G-trees as in (10.3) with B finitely generated (call these "special") then, provided G is finitely presented, (i) there are enough special G-trees to map onto the rational points of $\Sigma^1(G)^c$; (ii) those mapping to the same point have the same $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n$-properties, and (iii) the $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n$-properties of the various special G-trees are interdependent in a manner dictated by the location of the finite set $\Sigma^1(G)^c$ in $S(G)$.

A final remark: Among the choices of finitely generated MFPR groups G and $[\chi] \in \Sigma^1(G)^c$ we always have $m(\chi) = m(0_G)$ or $m(0_G) - 1$ (and both can occur), but we can make $m(\chi)$ and $m(\chi) - m(-\chi)$ as large as we like by choosing k and A, above, suitably. Thus, in (10.1) we can achieve $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \emptyset$ only when $n = \mathrm{fl}G < \infty$, while we can achieve $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \partial T$ and $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \{e\}$ in arbitrarily large ranges of n. We do not know if $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho) = \emptyset$ can occur in a larger range when G is not an MFPR group.

---

[32] Brown's theorem asserts that $\Sigma^1(G)^c$ consists of all $[-\chi_{\rho,e}]$ such that ρ is a G-action on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree with no fixed point and a unique fixed end. For the rational points of $S(G)$, simplicial (but not necessarily locally finite) trees suffice.

11 Further Technicalities on CAT(0) spaces

11.1 **More on ∂M.** In order to relate the topology of the CAT(0) space M to that of its boundary ∂M we use the following notation[33]: A *generalized geodesic ray* $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ is either a geodesic ray in the sense of §10.1 or a geodesic segment $[0, \mu] \to M$ extended by $\gamma([\mu, \infty)) = \gamma(\mu)$; it *starts* at $\gamma(0)$ and *ends* at $\gamma(\infty) := \gamma(\mu)$.

Let R be the function space consisting of all generalized geodesic rays $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ with the compact open topology. (i.e., uniform convergence on compact sets). Let $\hat{M}$ denote the disjoint union $M \cup \partial M$, and $\varepsilon : R \twoheadrightarrow \hat{M}$ the endpoint map $\varepsilon(\gamma) := \gamma(\infty)$. For each $a \in M$ the map ε has a continuous canonical section $\sigma_a : \hat{M} \rightarrowtail R$, where $\sigma_a(e)$ is the unique generalized geodesic ray starting at $a \in M$ and ending at $e \in \hat{M}$. This shows that $\hat{M}$ with the quotient topology induced by ε is homeomorphic to the subspace $R_a := \sigma_a(\hat{M}) = \{\gamma \in R | \gamma(0) = a\}$ of R. Restricting σ_a to the subspace $M \subset \hat{M}$ shows that the topology of M inherited from $\hat{M}$ is the topology given by the metric on M; and ∂M is homeomorphic to the subspace $\partial R_a := \sigma_a(\partial M) \subset R$ consisting of all geodesic rays emanating from a.

Proposition 11.1. *$\hat{M}$ is a compact metrizable space containing M as a subspace, $\partial M = \hat{M} - M$ is a nowhere dense subset and for every open set $U \subset \hat{M}$, the inclusion $U - \partial M \rightarrowtail U$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

□

When a group G acts on M by isometries there is an obvious extension to an action of G on $\hat{M}$ by homeomorphisms: If $e \in \partial M$ is the endpoint of the geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$, and $g \in G$, then $g\gamma$ is a geodesic ray and we put $ge := (g\gamma)(\infty)$. The stabilizer of $e \in \partial M$ in G is denoted G_e.

11.2 **Review of Busemann functions and horoballs.** Associated to a generalized geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ is its Busemann function $\beta_\gamma : M \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\beta_\gamma(b) = \lim_{t\to\infty} (d(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) - d(b, \gamma(t)), \qquad b \in M.$$

In the case when $\gamma(\infty) \in M$ and μ is the smallest non-negative number with $\gamma(\mu) = \gamma(\infty)$ we find $\beta_\gamma(b) = \mu - d(b, \gamma(\mu))$. In the case when $\gamma(\infty) \in \partial M$ one shows, by the triangle inequality, that the map $t \mapsto t - d(b, \gamma(t))$ is monotone increasing and bounded above by $d(\gamma(0), b)$, so $\beta_\gamma(b)$ is well defined.

---

[33] For proofs of the assertions about CAT(0) spaces in this section see [BrHa].

Proposition 11.2. (a) *If* γ *and* γ' *are generalized geodesic rays with the same endpoint then* $\beta_\gamma(\cdot) - \beta_{\gamma'}(\cdot)$ *is constant. In particular the difference* $\beta_\gamma(a) - \beta_\gamma(b)$ *depends only on* $a, b,$ *and* $e = \gamma(\infty)$.
(b) *The function* $\beta : R \times M \to \mathbb{R}$, $\beta(\gamma, b) = \beta_\gamma(b)$ *is continuous.*

□

If γ is a generalized ray and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then the (closed) *horoball* $HB_s(\gamma)$ is $\beta_\gamma^{-1}([r, \infty))$. When $s \geq 0$ this has a more geometric interpretation, namely $HB_s(\gamma) = \operatorname{cl}_M(\cup\{B_{t-s}(\gamma(t)) | s < t\})$. If the ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ is degenerate with $e = \gamma(\infty) \in M$ and $d(\gamma(0), e) = \mu$ then $HB_s(\gamma)$ is precisely $B_{\mu-s}(e)$.

11.3 **G-actions and Busemann functions.** Let G be a group acting on M by isometries, and let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ be a geodesic ray. For $g \in G$ and $a \in M$ we observe that $\beta_\gamma(ga) - \beta_\gamma(a)$ depends only on g, a and $e = \gamma(\infty)$. So putting $\psi_e(g, a) := \beta_\gamma(ga) - \beta_\gamma(a)$ defines a map $\psi_e : G \times M \to \mathbb{R}$. This map satisfies the equation

$$(11.1) \quad \psi_e(gh, a) = \psi_e(g, ha) + \psi_e(h, a), \qquad g, h \in G.$$

To see how $\psi_e(g, a)$ depends on a we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_e(g, a) - \psi_e(g, b) &= \beta_\gamma(ga) - \beta_\gamma(a) - \beta_\gamma(gb) + \beta_\gamma(b) \\ &= (\beta_{g^{-1}\gamma}(a) - \beta_\gamma(a)) - (\beta_{g^{-1}\gamma}(b) - \beta_\gamma(b)), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the obvious fact that $\beta_{gx}(ga) = \beta_x(a)$. Now suppose g lies in the stabilizer G_e of e. Then $g^{-1}\gamma$ and γ have the same endpoint and since $\beta_{g^{-1}\gamma}(\cdot) - \beta_\gamma(\cdot)$ is constant we find $\psi_e(g, a) = \psi_e(g, b)$ for all $g \in G_e$, $a, b \in M$. By (11.1) we get:

Proposition 11.3. *The function* $\chi_e : G_e \to \mathbb{R}$ *defined by* $\chi_e(g) = \beta_\gamma(ga) - \beta_\gamma(a)$ *is an additive homomorphism. In other words,* $g \cdot r := r + \chi_e(g)$ *defines a translation action of* G_e *on* $\mathbb{R}$ *which makes the Busemann function* $\beta_\gamma : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a G_e*-map.*

□

11.4 **The Tits distance.** We need some preliminary definitions. If Δ is a geodesic triangle in M with vertices a, b and c, and if Δ' is the comparison triangle in the plane, the *angle at* a in Δ is the Euclidean angle at a in Δ'; compare §2.1. The *angle* between geodesic rays γ and γ' starting at the same point $a \in M$ is $\angle_a(\gamma, \gamma') := \lim\limits_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup\limits_{t, t' < \epsilon} \{$ the angle at $\gamma(0)$ with vertices $\gamma(t)$ and $\gamma'(t')\}$. The *angular distance* between points e and e' of ∂M is $\angle(e, e') := \sup\limits_{a \in M}\{\angle_a(\gamma, \gamma')\}$ where γ and γ' (as above) represent e and e' respectively. This is a metric called the *angular metric* on ∂M: it is bounded above by π. The *Tits distance*, $Td(e, e')$ between $e, e' \in M$ is the inf of lengths of rectifiable (with respect to the angular metric) paths[34] in ∂M. These two metrics are complete and are locally isometric to one another. Hence they define the same topology on ∂M. The identity map $(\partial M, Td) \to (\partial M$, cone topology) is continuous. The Tits distance gives rich structure to ∂M; in particular $(\partial M, Td)$ is a complete CAT(1) space [BrHa; III 3.17] where "CAT(1)" is defined in the same way as CAT(0) but using comparison triangles in S^2 rather than in the plane.

---

[34] If there are no such paths the Tits distance is defined to be ∞; this extension of the usual notion of "metric" causes no problems.

12 CC^{n-1} over Endpoints

12.1 **Invariance Theorem.** As in §3.1 M is a proper CAT(0) space, X is a free left G-complex with $G\backslash X^n$ finite and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(M)$ is a left action of G on M by isometries. By Proposition 3.1 we can choose a G-equivariant control function $h : X \to M$.

A *decreasing filtration* of X is a collection of subcomplexes $(K_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of X such that $K_s \supseteq K_t$ whenever $s \leq t$. Filtrations (K_t) and (L_t) will be called *equivalent* if there is a constant $\mu \geq 0$ such that $K_{t-\mu} \supseteq L_t \supseteq K_{t+\mu}$ for all t.

The geodesic ray γ defines a filtration of X by the subcomplexes $\{X_{(\gamma,s)} | s \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $X_{(\gamma,s)}$ is the largest subcomplex of X lying in $h^{-1}(HB_s(\gamma))$. The equivalence class of this filtration only depends on $\gamma(\infty) = e \in \partial M$ since, by Proposition 11.2, $X_{(\gamma',t)} = X_{(\gamma,t+\text{constant})}$ when γ' is asymptotic to γ. And it does not depend on the G-map h since, referring to Proposition 3.1, for any $A \subset M$ $h_2^{-1}(A)$ lies in h_1^{-1}(the t-neighbourhood of A), where $t = \sup\{d(h_1(x), h_2(x)) | x \in X^n\}$. Moreover, the property that X be CC^{n-1} over e is, in fact, also independent of the choice of X, so that it is really a property of the action ρ and the point $e \in \partial M$. This is covered by the following more general Invariance Theorem

Theorem 12.1. *Let G be of type F_n, let Y be a cocompact n-dimensional $(n-1)$-connected rigid G-CW-complex such that the stabilizer of each p-cell is of type F_{n-p}, $p \leq n-1$. Let h: $Y \to M$ be a G-map and let $e \in \partial M$. The property that Y be CC^{n-1} over e is independent of the choices of γ, of Y and of the control function h.*

The proof of Theorem 12.1 carries over, mutatis mutandis, from the proof of Theorem 3.3.

In §10.2 we remarked that the lag λ depends on the horoball $HB_s(\gamma)$. By Proposition 11.2, if γ' is asymptotic to γ then $HB_s(\gamma) = HB_{s'}(\gamma')$ for some s'. We mean that λ is not a function of γ and s but rather depends only on the set $HB_s(\gamma)$.

12.2 **$\mathbf{CC^{-1}}$ in all directions.** Here we prove

Theorem 12.2 *The action $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Isom}(M)$ is CC^{-1} in all directions $e \in \partial M$ if and only if ρ is cocompact.*

Proof. We may take $n = 0$ and consider a finite subset $F \subset X^0$ with $GF = X^0$. If ρ is cocompact then there is a compact set $C \subset M$ such that $GC = M$ and $h(F) \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Since C has finite diameter, each horoball must contain a translate of C, hence some point of $h(X^0) = Gh(F)$; i.e. X is (-1)-connected in all directions.

It remains to show that if ρ is not cocompact then there is a horoball $HB_s(\gamma)$

disjoint from $h(X^0)$. There is a sequence (b_m) in M such that $\inf d(h(X^0), b_m) \geq m$ for all m. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There are points $a_m \in h(X^0)$ such that $\inf d(h(X^0), b_m) \leq d(a_m, b_m) \leq \inf d(h(X^0), b_m) + \epsilon$, and translating each b_m with the G-action if necessary we may assume that $a_m \in h(F)$. Pick $a \in h(F)$. Since the metric d is proper the space R_a of all generalized geodesic rays emanating from the base point a is compact. The points $b_m \in M$ are represented in R_a by the unique generalized geodesic rays $\omega_m : [0, \infty) \to M$ starting in a and ending at $\omega_m(\infty) = b_m$. The sequence (ω_m) has a limit point $\gamma \in R_a$, and we may assume it converges to γ. If $\gamma(\infty) \in M$ then the sequence $(d(a, b_m))$ is bounded which contradicts the choice of (b_m). Hence $\gamma(\infty) \in \partial M$, i.e., $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ is a geodesic ray. As (ω_m) converges to γ we find for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a number $N(k) \geq k$ with $d(\omega_{N(k)}(k),\ \gamma(k)) < \epsilon$. We abbreviate $c_k := \omega_{N(k)}(k)$ and observe that, as well as $d(\gamma(k), c_k) < \epsilon$, we have also $d(a, c_k) = k$, since c_k is the parameter-k-point on the geodesic segment from a to $b_{N(k)}$ which has length $d(a, b_{N(k)}) + \epsilon \geq d(a_{N(k)}, b_{N(k)}) \geq N(k) \geq k$.

We claim that for each point $c \in h(X^0)$ the inequality

$$d(a, c_k) \leq d(a, a_{N(k)}) + d(c, c_k) + \epsilon$$

holds. Indeed, this follows from

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b_{N(k)}) &\leq d(a, a_{N(k)}) + d(a_{N(k)}, b_{N(k)}) \\ &\leq d(a, a_{N(k)}) + d(c, b_{N(k)}) + \epsilon \\ &\leq d(a, a_{N(k)}) + d(c, c_k) + d(c_k, b_{N(k)}) + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

by subtracting $d(c_k, b_{N(k)})$ on either side. It follows that for each $c \in h(X^0)$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(c, \gamma(k)) &\geq d(c, c_k) - d(c_k, \gamma(k)) \\ &\geq d(a, c_k) - d(a, a_{N(k)}) - d(c_k, \gamma(k)) - \epsilon \\ &\geq k - \operatorname{diam} h(F) - 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that none of the points of $h(X^0)$ is contained in the horoball $HB_{\operatorname{diam} h(F) + 2\epsilon}(\gamma)$.

$\square$

13 Finitary Contractions Towards Endpoints

Throughout this section X is a contractible G-CW-complex with finite stabilizers and cocompact n-skeleton, M is a CAT(0) metric space, an action of G on M by isometries is given, and $h : X \to M$ is a G-equivariant control function. The main technical result in [$\mathrm{BG_I}$] was devoted to characterizing "CC^{n-1} over $a \in M$" in terms of the existence of a finitary contraction towards a. We now consider finitary contractions towards an endpoint $e \in \partial M$ in connection with the CC^{n-1} property over e.

13.1 **Shift and contractions towards $\mathbf{e} \in \partial\mathbf{M}$.** As in §5.2 we consider cellular maps $f : D(f) \to X$, where $D(f)$ is a subcomplex of X. The *shift of f towards $e \in \partial M$* (or *in the direction e*) is defined to be the continuous function $\mathrm{sh}_{f,e} : D(f) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathrm{sh}_{f,e}(x) := \beta_\gamma hf(x) - \beta_\gamma h(x),$$

where γ is a geodesic ray representing e. By Proposition 11.2(a) this is independent of the choice of γ. By the definition of β_γ and the triangle inequality the shift is bounded by the displacement function

$$(13.1) \qquad |\,\mathrm{sh}_{f,e}(x)| \le \alpha_f(x),$$

hence, just as for the shift towards $a \in M$, the shift function has a global bound $\|f\|$ if f is a bounded map[35].

By the *guaranteed shift towards $e \in \partial M$* we mean

$$\mathrm{gsh}_e(f) := \inf\ \mathrm{sh}_{f,e}(D(f)).$$

Just as in §5.4 we find

$$\mathrm{gsh}_{ge}(gf) = \mathrm{gsh}_e(f), \qquad \text{all } g \in G.$$

A cellular map $\phi : X \to X$ is said to be a *contraction towards $e \in \partial M$* (or *in the direction e*) if $\mathrm{gsh}_e(\phi) > 0$.

13.2 **From contractions to $\mathbf{CC^{n-1}}$.** The endpoint versions of the propositions in §5.3 have easier statements and proofs. Corresponding to Proposition 5.3 we have the observation that

$$(13.2) \qquad \mathrm{gsh}_e(\phi^m) \ge m\ \mathrm{gsh}_e(\phi)$$

for each contraction $\phi : X \to X$ and each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Corresponding to Proposition 5.5 we have

---

[35] Recall from §5.1 that $\alpha_f(x) := d(h(x), hf(x))$ and $||f|| := \sup \alpha_f(D(f))$.

Proposition 13.1 *If* X^n *admits a finitary contraction* $\phi : X^n \to X^n$ *towards* $e \in \partial M$ *and* $\epsilon := \mathrm{gsh}_e(\phi)$ *then there is a number* $\lambda \geq 0$ *and a cellular deformation* $\psi : X^n \times [0, \infty) \to X^{n+1}$ *satisfying the Lipschitz condition*

$$\beta_\gamma h\psi(x, s_2) - \beta_\gamma h\psi(x, s_1) \geq (s_2 - s_1)\varepsilon - \lambda$$

whenever $s_1 \leq s_2$, $x \in X^n$, *and* γ *is a geodesic ray with* $\gamma(\infty) = e$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 5.5 we define $\psi_0 : X^n \times I \to X^{n+1}$ to be a finitary homotopy $\mathrm{Id}_{X^n} \simeq \phi$ and take $\psi(x, t) := \psi_0(\phi^m(x), s)$, where $x \in X^n$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, and m is an integer with $s = t - m \in I$. Define $\mathrm{sh}_{\psi_{0,e}}(x) := \sup_{t \in I} \mathrm{sh}_{\psi_{0,e}(\cdot,t)}(x)$. This time we note that for $y := (\phi^m(x), t_1)$ and $z := (\phi^m(x), t_2)$ with $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 1$ and $x \in X^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_\gamma h\psi_0(y) - \beta_\gamma h\psi_0(z)| &\leq |\beta_\gamma h\psi_0(y) - \beta_\gamma h(\phi^m(x))| + |\beta_\gamma h(\phi^m(x)) - \beta_\gamma h\psi_0(z)| \\ &\leq |\,\mathrm{sh}_{\psi_{0,e}}(\phi^m(x))| + |\,\mathrm{sh}_{\psi_{0,e}}(\phi^m(x))| \\ &\leq 2\|\psi_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

If $s_i := m_i + t_i$ as above, with $s_1 \leq s_2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\gamma h\psi(x, s_2) - \beta_\gamma h\psi(x, s_1) &= \beta_\gamma h\psi_0(\phi^{m_2}(x), t_2) - \beta_\gamma h\psi_0(\phi^{m_1}(x), t_1) \\ &\geq \beta_\gamma h\psi_0(\phi^{m_2}(x), 1) - \beta_\gamma h\psi_0(\phi^{m_1}(x), 0) - 4\|\psi_0\| \\ &= \beta_\gamma h\phi^{m_2+1}(x) - \beta_\gamma h\phi^{m_1}(x) - 4\|\psi_0\| \\ &= \mathrm{sh}_{\phi^{m_2-m_1+1},e}(\phi^{m_1}(x)) - 4\|\psi_0\| \\ &\geq \mathrm{gsh}_e(\phi^{m_2-m_1+1}) - 4\|\psi_0\| \\ &\geq (m_2 - m_1 + 1)\varepsilon - 4\|\psi_0\|, \quad \text{by (13.2)} \\ &\geq (s_2 - s_1)\varepsilon - 4\|\psi_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

So we can choose λ to be $4\|\psi_0\|$.

□

By a straightforward adaptation of the proof of Theorem 5.6 we get

Theorem 13.2 *If* X *is contractible with finite stabilizers and cocompact* n*-skeleton then the existence of a finitary contraction* $\phi : X^n \to X^n$ *towards* $e \in \partial M$ *implies that*

X is CC^{n-1} over e with constant lag $\lambda = 4\|\psi_0\|$, where ψ_0 is any finitary homotopy $\mathrm{Id}_{X^n} \simeq \phi$.

□

There is a subtle variation of Theorem 13.2 which did not arise in the parallel case in §5 but will be needed in the inductive proof of the main result (Proposition 14.3).

Corollary 13.3 *The existence of a finitary contraction $\phi : X^n \to X^n$ towards $e \in \partial M$ also implies that if X has cocompact $(n+1)$-skeleton and is CC^n over e then it is so with constant lag $\lambda = 4\|\psi_0\|$.*

Proof. Assume X is CC^n over e with lag λ_0 and consider a map $f : S^n \to X_{(e,t)}$. Put $\varepsilon := \mathrm{gsh}_e(\phi)$ and $r := \varepsilon^{-1}\lambda_0$, and compose the deformation ψ of Proposition 13.1 with the map $(f \times \mathrm{Id}) : S^n \times [0,r] \to X_{(e,t)} \times [0,r]$. By the Lipschitz condition of ψ this yields a homotopy $H : S^n \times [0,r] \to X_{(e,t-\lambda)}$ between f and a map $f' = H(\cdot, r) : S^n \to X_{(e,t+\lambda_0-\lambda)}$. By the CC^n-property of X over e, with lag λ_0, f' extends to a map $\tilde{f}' : B^{n+1} \to X_{(e,t-\lambda)}$. Now, the annulus $S^n \times [0,r]$ and the ball B^{n+1} can be glued together along $S^n \times \{r\}$ to a topological $(n+1)$-ball $\tilde{B}$, and the union $\tilde{f} = H \cup \tilde{f}'$ is a map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{B} \to X_{(e,t-\lambda)}$ extending f.

□

13.3 **Passing to the closure of G-orbits.** It is clear that if $\phi : X^n \to X^n$ is a finitary contraction towards $e \in \partial M$ then $g\phi$ is a finitary contraction towards ge, so that, by Theorem 13.2, X is CC^{n-1} over each e' in the G-orbit of e, with a uniform constant lag λ. We improve this by establishing

Proposition 13.4 *The existence of a finitary contraction $\phi : X^n \to X^n$ towards $e \in \partial M$ implies that X is CC^{n-1} over every e' in $\mathrm{cl}_{\partial M}(Ge)$, the closure of the G-orbit of e. Moreover, if ψ_0 is a finitary homotopy $\mathrm{Id}_{X^n} \simeq \phi$ then any number $\lambda' > 4\|\psi_0\|$ is a constant lag, uniform for all $e' \in \mathrm{cl}_{\partial M}(Ge)$.*

In the proof of Proposition 13.4 we will need the following

Lemma 13.5 *Let M be a* CAT(0) *space and ε, r positive numbers. Then any number $R > r(1 + 2r/\varepsilon)$ has the property that whenever $\gamma, \gamma' : [0, \infty) \to M$ are geodesic rays starting at $c \in M$ then*

$$|\beta_\gamma(p) - \beta_{\gamma'}(p)| < 2\varepsilon + d(\gamma(R), \gamma'(R))$$

for every $p \in B_r(c)$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 6.4 with $a = \gamma(t), b = \gamma(R)$ and $p' = c$ yields

$$|d(c, \gamma(t)) - d(p, \gamma(t)) - d(c, \gamma(R)) + d(p, \gamma(R))| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence passing to the limit $t \to \infty$ we find

$$|\beta_\gamma(p) - R + d(p, \gamma(R))| < \varepsilon,$$

and similarly for γ'. Subtracting the two inequalities and using the triangle inequality yields the lemma.

□

Proof (of Proposition 13.4). We use the notation of the proof of Proposition 13.1. The proof of Theorem 13.2 starts with $0 \leq p \leq n-1$, a map $f : S^p \to X^p$, its extension to $f_1 : B^{p+1} \to X^{p+1}$, and a number t such that $f(S^p) \subset X_{(\gamma,t)}$. The deformation ψ is used to move f_1 to $f_1' : B^{p+1} \to X^{p+1}$, where $f_1' := \psi(f_1(\cdot), T)$, T being sufficiently large that $f_1'(B^{p+1}) \subseteq X_{(\gamma,t-\lambda)}$. The Lipschitz condition on ψ shows that if $\epsilon := \mathrm{gsh}_e(\phi)$ then $T = \mathrm{diam}\ hf_1(B^{p+1})/\epsilon$ will do. Let r be the diameter over M of the $[0,T]$ track of $f_1(B^{p+1})$, i.e. $r := \mathrm{diam}\ h\psi(f_1(B^{p+1}) \times [0,T])$. The final map $\tilde{f} : B^{p+1} \to X^p$ satisfies $\mathrm{diam}\ h\tilde{f}(B^{p+1}) \leq r$ and $\tilde{f}(B^{p+1}) \subset X_{(\gamma,t-\lambda)}$.

The numbers $\lambda = 4||\psi_0||$, $\epsilon = \mathrm{gsh}_e(\phi)$, T and r remain unchanged when e and ϕ are replaced by G-translates ge and $g\phi$. This shows that for every $g \in G$ the given map $f : S^p \to X^p$ can be extended to a map $\tilde{f}_g : B^{p+1} \to X^{p+1}$ such that (i) $\mathrm{diam}\ h\tilde{f}_g(B^{p+1}) \leq r$ and (ii) $f(S^p) \subset X_{(g\gamma,s)}$ implies $\tilde{f}_g(B^{p+1}) \subset X_{(g\gamma,s-\lambda)}$. In words, (ii) says that the extension $\tilde{f}_g$ of f has lag λ with respect to ge.

Now choose a base point $c \in hf(S^p)$. For all $g \in G$ we have $h\tilde{f}_g(B^{p+1}) \subset B_r(c)$. Let $e' \in \mathrm{cl}(Ge)$ be represented by a geodesic ray $\gamma' : [0,\infty) \to M$ with $\gamma'(0) = c$. Let $\nu > 0$ be an arbitrary small number, choose $R > r(1 + 2r/\nu)$, and choose $g \in G$ such that if ge is represented by the geodesic ray $\overline{\gamma} : [0,\infty) \to M$ with $\overline{\gamma}(0) = c$, then $d(\gamma'(R), \overline{\gamma}(R)) < \nu$. Lemma 13.5 shows that

$$|\beta_{\gamma'}(u) - \beta_{\overline{\gamma}}(u)| < 3\nu, \text{ for all } u \in B_r(c).$$

This shows that the extension $\tilde{f}_g : B^{p+1} \to X^{p+1}$ of $f : S^p \to X^p$ has lag $\lambda + 3\nu$ with respect to e'.

□

13.4 **Contractions in a set of directions $\mathbf{E} \subseteq \partial\mathbf{M}$.** We conclude this section with a further improvement on Proposition 13.4 and Corollary 13.3.

Proposition 13.6 *Let $\mathcal{F} : X^n \to X^n$ be a locally finite G-sheaf and let $E \subseteq \partial M$ be a closed set of endpoints with the property that for each $e \in E$ the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ has*

a cross section $\phi_e : X^n \to X^n$ *with* $\inf\{\ \mathrm{gsh}_e(\phi_e) | e \in E\} = \varepsilon > 0$. *Then there is a number* λ *such that the following hold:*
(a) X *is* CC^{n-1} *over each* $e' \in\ \mathrm{cl}_{\partial M}(GE)$ *with constant lag* λ
(b) *If* X *has cocompact* $(n+1)$*-skeleton and is* CC^n *over* $e' \in GE$ *then it is so with lag* λ.

Proof. The lag for an individual endpoint $e \in E$ which we found in Corollary 13.2 was $4\|\psi_{0,e}\|$ where $\psi_{0,e}$ was a finitary homotopy $\mathrm{Id}_{X^n} \simeq \phi_e$. Such a homotopy is obtained as a cross section of a homotopy of sheaves $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{F}_0 \simeq \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}_0$ stands for the sheaf consisting of all identity maps Id_D with $D \subseteq X^n$ the domain of a member of $\mathcal{F}$; see Propositions 4.8 and 4.10. Since $\psi_{0,e}$ is a cross section of $\mathcal{H}$, we have $||\psi_{0,e}|| \leq ||\mathcal{H}|| := \sup\{||H|| \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Therefore $4\|\mathcal{H}\|$ is a uniform lag for all $e \in E$, and Proposition 13.4 shows that any number $\lambda > 4\|\mathcal{H}\|$ is a uniform lag for all $e' \in \cup\{\ \mathrm{cl}\ Ge \mid e \in E\}$. Since E is closed in ∂M this set is $\mathrm{cl}_{\partial M} GE$.

□

14 From CC^{n-1} over Endpoints to Contractions

Let X, M and h be as in §13. We aim to construct finitary contractions from CC^{n-1} assumptions over endpoints.

14.1 **Vertex shift and defect of sheaves.** Let $\mathcal{F} : X \rightsquigarrow X$ be a locally finite homotopically closed G-sheaf. In §5.4 and §5.5 we introduced the maximal guaranteed vertex shift $\mu_a(\mathcal{F}|\sigma)$ and the defect $d_a(\mathcal{F}|\sigma)$ on a cell σ towards a point $a \in M$. The same definitions apply with $a \in M$ replaced by an endpoint $e \in \partial M$.

Guaranteed vertex shift and defect in the direction $e \in \partial M$ are used to control the shift of a cross section $\phi : X \to X$ of $\mathcal{F}$ on σ in the direction e. Just as in §5.5 one introduces the total defect of $\mathcal{F}$ on σ in the direction e, $\delta_e(\mathcal{F}|\sigma)$, and one proves (compare Proposition 5.7 and Remark 5.8):

Proposition 14.1 *Let $e \in \partial M$. Then every locally finite homotopically closed G-sheaf $\mathcal{F} : X \rightsquigarrow X$ has a cross section $\phi : X \to X$ with*

$$\mathrm{sh}_{\phi,e}(x) \geq \mu_e(\mathcal{F}|\sigma) - \delta_e(\mathcal{F}|\sigma)$$

for each cell σ of X and each $x \in \sigma$; and *ϕ can be chosen to be a G_e-map.*

$\square$

14.2. **Controlled embedding of sheaves into homotopically closed sheaves.** We shall need the following embedding result

Proposition 14.2 *Let E be a closed and G-invariant subset of ∂M. Assume X is CC^{n-1} in all directions $e \in E$ with uniform constant lag $\lambda \geq 0$ depending only on E. Then for every $\lambda' > \lambda$, any locally finite G-sheaf $\mathcal{F} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ can be embedded in a homotopically closed locally finite G-sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $d_e(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|\sigma) \leq \lambda' +$ $\mathrm{diam} hC(\sigma)$, for all cells σ of X^n, and all $e \in E$.*

Proof. The argument is parallel to those in §6.2 and §6.4, in fact considerably simpler since the technicalities of §6.3 do not arise. Just as in §6.2 one starts by fixing an n-cell σ of X and a cellular map $f : C(\overset{\bullet}{\sigma}) \to X$ and one proves that, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, a finite set $S(\sigma)$ of cellular maps $C(\sigma) \to X$ extending f can be chosen in such a way that for each geodesic ray γ with $\gamma(\infty) = e \in E$ there exist $\tilde{f}_e \in S(\sigma)$ with

$$\mathrm{gsh}_\gamma(\tilde{f}_e) > \mathrm{gsh}_\gamma(f) - \mathrm{diam} hC(\sigma) - \lambda - \varepsilon.$$

Note that we have used the assumption that E is compact and the fact that the Busemann function β_γ is continuous in γ (Proposition 11.2).

We can now prove Proposition 14.2 by induction on n. When $n = 0$ the condition on the defects $d_e(\mathcal{F}|\sigma)$ is empty so we can refer to Proposition 4.5. By induction, assume that $\mathcal{F}^{n-1} := \mathcal{F} \mid X^{n-1} : X^{n-1} \rightsquigarrow X^{n-1}$ is homotopically closed with $d_e(\mathcal{F}^{n-1}|\tau) \leq \lambda' +$ diam$hC(\tau)$ for every cell τ of X^{n-1} and all $e \in E$. In order to embed $\mathcal{F}$ in a homotopically closed sheaf we adjoin the sets $S(\sigma)$ constructed above to $\mathcal{F}$. The set $S(\sigma)$ depends on σ; but for the G-translates $g\sigma$ of σ we do not have to choose $S(g\sigma)$ anew but can put $S(g\sigma) := gS(\sigma)$. This is because $\operatorname{gsh}_{ge}(gf) = \operatorname{gsh}_e(f)$; see §13.1. In this way we have embedded $\mathcal{F}$ in a sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ which is homotopically closed, locally finite and a G-sheaf; and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ does satisfy the required condition on the defect.

□

14.3 **Obtaining contractions towards end points.** Here is the converse to Proposition 13.6.

Proposition 14.3 *If $E \subseteq \partial M$ is a closed G-invariant subset and X is CC^{n-1} over every $e \in E$ then there is a locally finite homotopically closed G-sheaf $\mathcal{F} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ which admits, for each $e \in E$, a cross section $\phi_e : X^n \to X^n$ with* $\inf\{\operatorname{gsh}_e(\phi_e)|e \in E\} > 0$.

Proof. First we consider the case $n = 0$. For each vertex v of a finite fundamental domain $F \subseteq X^n$ and each end point $e \in E$ we use the CC^{-1} hypothesis to pick a map $f_e^v : \{v\} \to X^0$ with $\operatorname{gsh}_e(f_e^v) = hf_e^v(v) - h(v) > 0$. For $e' \in E$ sufficiently close to e, $\operatorname{gsh}_{e'}(f_e^v) > 0$. Since E is compact we find a finite sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0 : F^0 \rightsquigarrow X^0$ with $\mu_e(\mathcal{F}_0|v) > 0$ for all $e \in E$ and all $v \in F^0$. Since F^0 and the stabilizers of the vertices $v \in F^0$ are finite there are only finitely many group elements $g \in G$ with $gF^0 \cap F^0 \neq \emptyset$. By adding to $\mathcal{F}_0$ the maps gf for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and all $g \in G$ with $gD(f) \in F^0$ we find a finite G-saturated sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0' : F \rightsquigarrow X^0$ (in the sense of §4.2).

By Propositions 4.1 and 4.3 $\mathcal{F}^0 := G\mathcal{F}_0' : X^0 \rightsquigarrow X^0$ is a locally finite G-sheaf. Since it contains $\mathcal{F}_0'$ and since $\mu_{ge}(\mathcal{F}^0|gv) = \mu_e(\mathcal{F}^0|v)$ we have $\mu_e(\mathcal{F}^0|x) > 0$ for all $e \in E$ and all $x \in X^0$ – in fact $\inf \mu_e(\mathcal{F}^0|X^0) > 0$. The required cross section ϕ_e of $\mathcal{F}^0$ exists by Proposition 14.1.

We proceed by induction on n, assuming that a homotopically closed locally finite G-sheaf $\mathcal{F} : X^{n-1} \rightsquigarrow X^{n-1}$ has already been constructed, with cross sections $\phi_e : X^{n-1} \to X^{n-1}$ contracting towards $e \in E$, and $\varepsilon := \inf\{\operatorname{gsh}_e(\phi_e)|e \in E\} > 0$. The assumptions of Proposition 13.6(b) are then fulfilled (with n replaced by $n-1$), so there is a uniform constant lag for the CC^{n-1}-assumptions on X in all directions $e \in E$. In this situation Proposition 14.2 applies and yields a number D with the property that every locally finite G-sheaf $\mathcal{F}' : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ can be embedded into a locally finite homotopically closed G-sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}' : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ with $d_e(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'|\sigma) \leq D$ for all

$e \in E$, and all cells σ of X^n.

We may alter the domain of $\mathcal{F}$ and write $\mathcal{F} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$, noting that $\mathcal{F} \mid X^{n-1} : X^{n-1} \rightsquigarrow X^{n-1}$ (but perhaps not $\mathcal{F}$) is homotopically closed. Let m be a positive integer such that $m \cdot \varepsilon > D$. The m-th iterate $\mathcal{F}^{(m)} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ is a locally finite G-sheaf and thus, by the previous paragraph, can be embedded into a locally finite homotopically closed G-sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ with $d_e(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|\sigma) \leq D$ for all $e \in E$ and all cells σ of X^n. Then the maps $\phi_e^m : X^{n-1} \to X^{n-1}$ are cross sections of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and, by (13.2), $\operatorname{gsh}_e \phi_e^m \geq m \operatorname{gsh}_e(\phi_e) \geq m \cdot \varepsilon$. From the definition of the defect $d_e(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|\sigma)$ it is clear that each of the cross sections $\phi_e^m : X^{n-1} \to X^{n-1}$ can be extended to a cross section $\tilde{\phi}_e : X^n \to X^n$ of the homotopically closed sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{gsh}_e(\tilde{\phi}_e|C(\sigma)) &\geq \operatorname{gsh}_e(\phi_e^m|C(\sigma)) - d_e(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|\sigma) \\ &\geq m\varepsilon - D > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all cells σ of X^n.

□

14.4 **The main technical results.**

Theorem 14.4 *If X is a contractible G-CW-complex with finite cell stabilizers and cocompact n-skeleton and if G acts on M by isometries then the following are equivalent for $e \in \partial M$.*

(i) *X^n admits a G-finitary contraction towards e*

(ii) *X is CC^{n-1} in all directions $e' \in \operatorname{cl}_{\partial M}(Ge)$*

(iii) *There is a locally finite homotopically closed G-sheaf $\mathcal{F} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ and a number $\varepsilon > 0$ with*

$$\mu_e(\mathcal{F}|\sigma) - \delta_e(\mathcal{F}|\sigma) \geq \varepsilon$$

for all cells σ of X^n.

Addendum. If (i)–(iii) *hold then there is a constant lag $\lambda \geq 0$ uniform for all $e' \in \operatorname{cl}(Ge)$ in* (ii).

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii) is covered by Proposition 13.4 which yields a uniform constant lag λ as required in the Addendum. (ii) ⇒ (i) is covered by Proposition 14.3. The implication (iii) ⇒ (i) is clear from Proposition 14.1. It remains to show that (i) implies (iii). We know that (i) implies (ii) with uniform constant lag λ as described in the Addendum. Let $\lambda' > \lambda$ and let $L > \lambda' + \text{diam } hC(\sigma)$ for all cells σ of X^n. Let $\phi : X^n \to X^n$ be a finitary contraction towards e. Then $\text{gsh}_e(\phi) > 0$, and for some $m \geq 1$ ϕ^m is a finitary contraction towards e with $\text{gsh}_e(\phi^m) > L$; this uses Proposition 4.9. Then $\mathcal{F}' := G\,\text{Res}(\phi^m)$ is a locally finite G-sheaf with $\mu_e(\mathcal{F}' \mid \sigma) > L$ for all cells σ of X^n. By Proposition 14.2, using (ii) with uniform constant lag λ on $\text{cl}_{\partial M}(Ge)$, $\mathcal{F}'$ can be embedded in a homotopically closed locally finite G-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with $d_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma) \leq \lambda' + \text{diam } hC(\sigma) < L$ for all cells σ of X^n. Since $\mu_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma) > L$ for all σ, (iii) holds.

□

Remark. For any cell σ of X and any $\mathcal{F}$ as above, the numbers $\mu_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma)$ and $\delta_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma)$ depend continuously on $e \in \partial M$; this follows from Proposition 11.2; compare §5.4. Hence the inequality $\mu_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma) - \delta_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ which comes from (iii) of Theorem 14.4 for given e implies $\mu_{e'}(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma) - \delta_{e'}(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all e in some neighborhood of e. However, since there are infinitely many σ to be considered one cannot conclude that $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$ is open in ∂M with respect to the cone topology. Counterexamples are found in §§10.4, 10.7(B) and 10.7(C).

Theorem 14.5 *If X is a contractible G-CW-complex with finite cell stabilizers and cocompact n-skeleton and if G acts on M by isometries then the following are equivalent for a G-invariant closed subset $E \subseteq \partial M$.*

(i) *X^n admits G-finitary contractions $\phi_e : X^n \to X^n$ towards each $e \in E$.*

(ii) *X is CC^{n-1} in all directions $e \in E$.*

(iii) *There is a locally finite homotopically closed G-sheaf $\mathcal{F} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ and a number $\varepsilon > 0$ with*

$$\mu_e(\mathcal{F}|\sigma) - \delta_e(\mathcal{F}|\sigma) \geq \varepsilon$$

for all cells σ of X^n and all $e \in E$.

Addendum. *If (i) – (iii) hold then more is true. There is a locally finite homotopically closed G-sheaf $\mathcal{F} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ such that the maps ϕ_e in (i) can be chosen to satisfy* $\text{Res}(\phi_e) \subset \mathcal{F}$ *for all e and* $\inf\{\text{gsh}_e(\phi_e) | e \in E\} > 0$*; and (ii) holds with a uniform constant lag λ in all directions $e \in E$.*

Proof. (i) ⇔ (ii) follows from Theorem 14.4. (iii) ⇒ (i) is immediate from Proposition 14.1. It remains to prove that (i) and (ii) imply (iii). Assuming (ii), Proposition 14.3 gives the stronger form of (i) in the Addendum; and this implies the stronger form of (ii) in the Addendum (uniform constant lag λ) by Proposition 13.6. Thus in proving (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) we may assume the stronger forms of (i) and (ii). The proof runs parallel to the (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) part of Proposition 14.4. Let $L > \lambda' + \text{diam}\, hC(\sigma)$ for all cells σ of X^n. For each $e \in E$ let $\phi_e : X^n \to X^n$ be a finitary contraction towards e, each ϕ_e being a cross section of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ in the Addendum, and $\inf\{\text{gsh}_e(\phi_e) \mid e \in E\} > 0$. By Propositions 4.7 and 4.9, each iterate ϕ_e^m is a finitary contraction towards e and is a cross section of the m-fold composite sheaf $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$. This is a locally finite G-sheaf and, if m is large enough, $\mu_e(\mathcal{F}' \mid \sigma) > L$ for all cells σ of X^n and all $e \in E$. The rest of the proof is similar to the corresponding proof in Theorem 14.4.

□

15 Proofs of Theorems E-H

15.1 **Dynamical characterization of $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}{}^n(\rho)$.**

Proof of Theorem E. This is the equivalence of (i) and (ii) in Theorem 14.4. $\square$

15.2 **Openness using the Tits distance topology**. Recall from §5.1 that the norm of a cellular map $\phi : X^n \to X^n$ is $||\phi|| := \sup\{d(h(x), h\phi(x)) \mid x \in X^n\}$, and that ϕ is said to be bounded if $||\phi|| < \infty$. Recall from §10.3 that ϕ is a contraction towards $e \in \partial M$ if there is a number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\operatorname{sh}_{\phi,e}(x) \geq \epsilon$ for all $x \in X^n$ (see §13.1 for $\operatorname{sh}_{\phi,e}(x)$).

Proposition 15.1 *Let ϕ be a bounded contraction towards $e \in \partial M$. There is a neighborhood N of e in $(\partial M, Td)$ such that ϕ is also a bounded contraction towards every $e' \in N$.*

Proof. Let γ and γ' be geodesic rays representing e and $e' \in \partial M$ such that $\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0)$. Let $\alpha(t)$ be the angle at $\gamma(0)$ in the geodesic triangle whose other vertices are $\gamma(t)$ and $\gamma'(t)$. With notation as in §11.4, it is shown in [BrHa, III 3.4] that $\alpha(t) \leq \angle(e, e')$ and $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \alpha(t) = \angle(e, e')$. By Euclidean geometry $\sin\frac{\alpha(t)}{2} = \frac{d(\gamma(t),\gamma'(t))}{2t}$, so

$$d(\alpha(t), \gamma'(t)) \leq 2t \sin\left(\frac{\angle(e, e')}{2}\right).$$

The right hand side of this inequality is independent of the base point $\gamma(0)$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $\operatorname{sh}_{\phi,e}(x) \geq \epsilon$ for all $x \in X^n$. We apply Lemma 13.5 (with $\frac{\epsilon}{6}$ replacing ϵ in that lemma, and $r = ||\phi||$). Letting $R = ||\phi||(1 + \frac{12||\phi||}{\epsilon}) + \epsilon$, that lemma implies that for any $x \in X^n$ and any $p \in B_{||\phi||}(h(x))$ we have:

$$|\beta_\gamma(p) - \beta_{\gamma'}(p)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + d(\gamma(R), \gamma'(R))$$

where $\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0) = h(x)$. Thus if e' is chosen so that $\angle(e, e') < 2\arcsin(\frac{\epsilon}{6R})$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{sh}_{\phi,e}(x) - \operatorname{sh}_{\phi,e'}(x)| &= |\beta_\gamma h\phi(x) - \beta_{\gamma'} h\phi(x)| \\ &\leq \tfrac{\epsilon}{3} + \tfrac{\epsilon}{3R}R = \tfrac{2\epsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\operatorname{sh}_{\phi,e'}(x) > \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for all $x \in X^n$.

□

Parallel to Proposition 7.3 we have (when h_ρ is chosen continuously in ρ)

Proposition 15.2 *Let $\mathcal{F} : X \rightsquigarrow X$ be a locally finite homotopically closed G-sheaf. Then $\mu_e^\rho(\mathcal{F}|\sigma)$ and $\delta_e^\rho(\mathcal{F}|\sigma)$ are jointly continuous in the variables $(\rho, e) \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{Isom}(M)) \times \partial M$, where ∂M has the cone topology.*

Of course this remains true if ∂M has the finer Tits distance topology.

Proof of Theorem F. For fixed ρ, openness in e follows from Proposition 15.1 and Theorem 14.4. If ρ is permitted to vary and h_ρ is chosen continuously in ρ, the same proof works, in view of Proposition 15.2.

□

15.3 **Openness in ρ using the cone topology on ∂M.**

Proof of Theorem G. Let $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{Isom}(M, E))$ be such that one and hence all of the Conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 14.7 hold. Since $\mu_{ge}(\mathcal{F} \mid g\sigma) = \mu_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma)$ and $\delta_{ge}(\mathcal{F} \mid g\sigma) = \delta_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma)$ for all $g \in G$ the inequality in Condition (iii) need only be considered for a (finite) set of representatives of the G-orbits of cells in X^n. The parameter range $e \in E$ is compact and hence the condition (with ϵ replaced by $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$) remains true if ρ is subject to a small perturbation, in view of Proposition 15.2.

□

15.4 **Endpoints versus points in M.** Theorem H follows from the Invariance Theorem 12.1 and

Theorem 15.3. *Let X be a contractible G-*CW*-complex with finite cell stabilizers and cocompact n-skeleton. If $h : X \to M$ is an equivariant control function over an almost geodesically complete* CAT(0)*-space M then the following are equivalent*

(i) *X is CC^{n-1} in all directions $e \in \partial M$*

(ii) *X is uniformly CC^{n-1} over M.*

Proof. (ii) ⇒ (i) is easy and is left to the reader. We prove (i) ⇒ (ii). Let $\mathcal{F} : X^n \rightsquigarrow X^n$ be the sheaf given by (iii) of Theorem 14.5 for $E = \partial M$. Let $r := ||\mathcal{F}||$, let ν be an arbitrarily small positive number and choose $S > r(1 + 2r/\nu)$. Let $\mu \geq 0$ be the number given by the definition of "almost geodesically complete" in §10.5. We may assume $\nu \leq 1$ and $\mu \geq 1$ and put $R := \mu S/\nu$. Now we fix a base point $a \in M$ and consider any $x \in X^n$ with $d(a, h(x)) \geq R$. By assumption there is a geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ with $\gamma(0) = h(x)$ and $d(a, \gamma(T)) \leq \mu$ for some $T \in [0, \infty)$. We put $e := \gamma(\infty)$ and we compare the shifts $\mathrm{sh}_{f,a}(x)$ and $\mathrm{sh}_{f,e}(x)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Since $R \geq S > r(1 + 2r/\nu)$ Lemma 6.4 applies. We apply it, in fact, twice, each time taking $c = p = h(x)$ and $p' = hf(x)$. The first application is for the point $\gamma(t) \notin B_S(c)$ and yields

$$| \mathrm{sh}_{f,\gamma(t)}(x) - \mathrm{sh}_{f,\gamma(S)}(x)| < \nu.$$

Taking the limit $t \to \infty$ this becomes

$$(15.1) \quad | \mathrm{sh}_{f,e}(x) - \mathrm{sh}_{f,\gamma(S)}(x)| < \nu.$$

The second application is for the point $a \notin B_S(c)$ and yields

$$(15.2) \quad |sh_{f,a}(x) - \mathrm{sh}_{f,b}(x)| < \nu,$$

where b is the point on the geodesic segment from c to a with $d(c, b) = S$. In order to get a bound on $d(\gamma(S), b)$ we have to compare the two isosceles triangles $(a, c, \gamma(d(a, c)))$ and $(b, c, \gamma(S))$ with the corresponding Euclidean ones. Since $d(a, \gamma(d(a, c))) \leq d(a, \gamma(T)) + |T - d(a, c)| \leq 2d(\alpha, \gamma(T)) \leq 2\mu$ we find $d(\gamma(S), b)/S \leq 2\mu/d(a, c) \leq 2\mu/R$, and hence $d(\gamma(S), b) \leq 2\nu$. By (5.7) it follows that

$$(15.3) \quad | \mathrm{sh}_{f,\gamma(S)}(x) - \mathrm{sh}_{f,b}(x)| \leq 4\nu,$$

and hence, from the conjunction of (15.1) - (15.3),

$$(15.4) \quad | \mathrm{sh}_{f,e}(x) - \mathrm{sh}_{f,a}(x)| \leq 6\nu.$$

We use (15.4) to compare defect and maximal guaranteed vertex shift towards $a \in M$ and $e \in \partial M$. Choosing ν sufficiently small compared with ε (as given in (iii) of Theorem 14.5) we find – details left to the reader –

$$|\mu_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma) - \mu_a(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and

$$|\delta_e(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma) - \delta_a(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and therefore

$$|\mu_a(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma) - \delta_a(\mathcal{F} \mid \sigma)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all cells σ of X^n with $h(C(\sigma)) \cap B_R(a) = \emptyset$. By Theorem 6.8 this establishes that X is uniformly CC^{n-1} over M.

□

We remark that Theorem 15.3 for $n = 0$ recovers Theorem 12.2 (under the assumption that M is almost geodesically complete).

References

Å86 H. Åberg, Bieri-Strebel valuations (of finite rank), *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **52** (1986), 269-304.

BeBr 97 M. Bestvina and N. Brady, Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups, *Invent. Math.* **129** (1997), 445-470.

B 81 R. Bieri, *Homological dimension of discrete groups*, QMC Mathematics Notes, 2nc edition. Queen Mary and Westfield College, London.

B 98 R. Bieri, Finiteness length and connectivity length for groups, *Geometric group theory down under*, de Gruyter Verlag, Berlin (to appear).

BG_I R. Bieri and R. Geoghegan, Connectivity properties of group actions on non-positively curved spaces I: controlled connectivity and opennenss results, preprint.

BG 98 R. Bieri and R. Geoghegan, Kernels of actions on non-positively curved spaces, *Geometry and cohomology in group theory* (P.H. Kropholler, G. Niblo and R. Stöhr, ed.) London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 252, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, 24-38.

BGr 84 R. Bieri and J.R.J. Groves, The geometry of the set of characters induced by valuations, *J. reine und angew. Math.* **347** (1984), 168-195.

BNS 87 R. Bieri, W. Neumann and R. Strebel, A geometric invariant of discrete groups, *Invent. Math.* **90** (1987), 451-477.

BRe 88 R. Bieri and B. Renz, Valuations on free resolutions and higher geometric invariants of groups, *Comment Math. Helvetici* **63** (1988), 464-497.

BS 78 R. Bieri and R. Strebel, Almost finitely presented soluble groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **53** (1978), 258-278.

BS 80 R. Bieri and R. Strebel, Valuations and finitely presented metabelian groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **41** (1980), 439-464.

BS 81 R. Bieri and R. Strebel, A geometric invariant for modules over an Abelian group, *J. Reine und angew. Math.* **322** (1981), 170-189.

BrHa M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, (monograph in preparation).

Br 87_{II} K.S. Brown, Trees, valuations and the Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariant, *Invent. Math.* **90** (1987), 479-504.

BuGo 99 K.-U. Bux and C. Gonzalez, The Bestvina-Brady construction revisited - the geometric computation of the Σ-invariants for right angled Artin groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* 1999 (to appear).

Ho 97 P.K. Hotchkiss, The boundary of a Busemann space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997) 1903-1912.

Ko D. Kochloukova, *The FP_m-conjecture for a class of metabelian groups and related topics*, Dissertation, Cambridge, 1998.

Ko 96 D. Kochloukova, The FP_m-conjecture for a class of metabelian groups, *J. of Algebra* **184** (1996), 1175-1204.

Me 95 H. Meinert, The Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariant for graph products of groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **103** (1995), 205-210.

Me 96 H. Meinert, The homological invariants of metabelian groups of finite Prüfer rank: a proof of the Σ^m-conjecture, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **72** (1996), 385-424.

MMV 98 J. Meier, H. Meinert, and L. VanWyk, Higher generation subgroup sets and the Σ-invariants of graph groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **73** (1998), 22-44.

On P. Ontaneda, Cocompact CAT(0) spaces are almost extendible, (preprint).

Re 88 B. Renz, *Geometrische Invarianten und Endlichkeitseigenschaften von Gruppen*, Dissertation, Frankfurt (1988).

Re 89 B. Renz, Geometric invariants and HNN-extensions, *Group Theory (Singapore 1987)*, de Gruyter Verlag, Berlin 1989, 465-484.

ROBERT BIERI, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, ROBERT-MAYER-STRASSE 6-10, 60325 FRANKFURT, GERMANY
e-mail: bieri@math.uni-frankfurt.de

ROSS GEOGHEGAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, BINGHAMTON, NY 13902-6000, USA
e-mail: ross@math.binghamton.edu